Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,987	HERZIG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erma Cameron	1762
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Erma Cameron.	(3)	
(2) William Conger.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	•	
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	<del></del>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 1. The applicant had elected claims 11-14 and 17-26 in a restriction request of 5/18/2005. Non-elected claims 15-16 and 27-28 will be canceled. 2. Claims 11 and 22 will be amended for better antecedent basis. 3. Claims 13 and 14 will be amended to make it clear that the coating exists on a substrate. These will be done by examiner's amendment.